Open Agenda

Planning Committee (Smaller Applications)

Wednesday 2 September 2026
7.00 pm
Ground floor meeting rooms - G01A, B, & C 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

Supplemental Agenda No.1

List of Contents

Item No.	Title	Page No.
5.	**Minutes** To approve as a correct record the amended minutes of the meeting held on 22 July 2026 and minutes of the meeting on 10 August 2026.	1 - 10

Contact

Beverley Olamijulo on 020 7525 7234 or email: Beverley.olamijulo@southwark.gov.uk

Website: www.southwark.gov.uk Meetings, agendas, and minutes - Southwark Council

Date of despatch: 27 August 2026

Agenda Item 5.

Planning Committee (Smaller Applications)

MINUTES of the Planning Committee (Smaller Applications) held on Wednesday 22 July 2026 at 7.00 pm at Ground floor meeting rooms (G01 A B & C) 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

PRESENT:	Councillor Richard Taylor (Chair) Councillor Jane Salmon (Vice-Chair) Councillor Andy Higson Councillor Sean Hannigan Councillor James Moyse Councillor Margy Newens Councillor Kath Whittam
OFFICER SUPPORT:	Dennis Sangweme (Assistant Director, Development Management) Solomon Simbanane (Specialist Lawyer) Abbie McGovern (Development Management) Lara Sharpe (Development Management) Alex Fish (Development Management) Suki Susherrie (Development Management) Beverley Olamijulo (Constitutional Officer)

1. APOLOGIES

There were none.

2. CONFIRMATION OF VOTING MEMBERS

Those members listed as present above were confirmed as the voting members for the meeting.

3. NOTIFICATION OF ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT

The chair gave notice of the following additional papers circulated prior to the meeting:

- Addendum report relating to item 6.1 – Development management items
- Members pack.

4. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

The following member declared an interest in item:

6.1 25/AP/3183 56 – 60 Comber Grove, Camberwell, London SE5 0LQ

Councillor James Moyse, non-pecuniary interest as the application was located within his ward, but confirmed that he had not expressed any opinion on the proposal.

5. MINUTES

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the Planning Committee (Smaller Applications) meeting held on 30 June 2026 be approved as a correct record and signed by the chair.

6. DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

Members noted the development management report.

RESOLVED:

1. That the determination of planning applications, or formal observations and comments, the instigation of enforcement action and the receipt of the reports included in the attached items be considered.

2. That the decisions made on the planning applications be subject to the conditions and/or made for the reasons set out in the attached reports unless otherwise stated.

3. That where reasons for decisions or conditions are not included or not as included in the reports relating to an individual item, they be clearly specified.

6.1 56-60 COMBER GROVE, CAMBERWELL LONDON SE5 0LQ

Planning application reference 25/AP/3183

Report: See pages 11 to 113 of the agenda pack and pages 1 to 2 of the addendum report.

PROPOSAL

Demolition of the existing buildings and the redevelopment of the site to provide 38 dwellings (Use Class C3) in a six-storey building, along with associated landscaping, dedicated plant and storage facilities, and other associated works.

The committee heard the officer's introduction to the report. Members of the committee asked questions of the officers.

There were no objectors present at the meeting.

The applicant addressed the committee and responded to questions from members.

There were no supporters present, who lived within 100 metres of the development site and wished to speak.

There were no ward members present who wished to speak at the meeting.

A motion to grant the application subject to conditions and amended conditions set out in the officer's report, and the addendum report, that were presented during the hearing, was moved, seconded, put to the vote and declared carried.

RESOLVED:

1. That planning permission be granted subject to conditions and additional conditions regarding the site's landscaping summarised below; and the applicant entering into an appropriate legal agreement.

2. If the requirements of paragraph 1 above are not met by 22 January 2027, the director of planning and growth be authorised to refuse planning permission, if appropriate, for the reasons set out in paragraph 214 of the report.

   Additional conditions:

   Hard and Soft Landscaping – New Condition 18

   i. Prior to any above-ground works, a detailed hard and soft landscaping scheme must be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority, with all landscaping implemented, maintained, and any failed planting replaced for at least five years in accordance with relevant British Standards.

   Green Roof – New Condition 25 – Prior to occupation

   ii. The approved green roof system shall be fully completed prior to the

first occupation of the building and shall be retained and maintained thereafter for the lifetime of the development. Any dead, failing, or damaged planting must be replaced during the next planting season.

Reason: To ensure the provision of sustainable urban drainage (SuDS), mitigate the urban heat island effect, enhance local biodiversity, and secure the long-term visual amenity of the development.

At 7.47pm the meeting adjourned for a five-minute comfort break. The meeting reconvened at 7.52pm to proceed to the next item on the agenda.

6.2 ALLEYN'S SCHOOL TOWNLEY ROAD, LONDON SOUTHWARK SE22 8SU

Planning application reference 26/AP/0528

Report: See pages 115 to 138 of the agenda pack.

PROPOSAL

Temporary erection of a dining marquee until 31 October 2028.

The committee heard the officer's introduction to the report. Members of the committee asked questions of the officers.

There were no objectors present at the meeting.

The applicant addressed the committee and responded to questions from members.

There were no supporters present, who lived within 100 metres of the development site and wished to speak.

There were no ward members present who wished to speak at the meeting.

A motion to grant the application subject to conditions and amended conditions set out in the officer's report, and the addendum report, that were presented during the hearing, was moved, seconded, put to the vote and declared carried.

RESOLVED:

That planning permission be granted subject to conditions.

The meeting ended at 8.50 pm.

CHAIR:

DATED:

Planning Committee (Smaller Applications)

MINUTES of the Planning Committee (Smaller Applications) held on Monday 10 August 2026 at 7.00 pm at Ground floor meeting rooms - G01A, B, & C 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

PRESENT: Councillor Richard Taylor (Chair)
Councillor Jane Salmon (Vice-Chair)
Councillor Andy Higson
Councillor Sean Hannigan
Councillor James Moyse
Councillor Margy Newens
Councillor Kath Whittam

OFFICER SUPPORT: Dennis Sangweme (Assistant Director, Development Management)
Michael Feeney (External Legal Counsel, FTB Chambers)
Sean Gomes (Senior Planning Officer)
Gerald Gohler (Constitutional Officer)

1. APOLOGIES

There were none.

2. CONFIRMATION OF VOTING MEMBERS

Those members listed as present above were confirmed as the voting members for the meeting.

3. NOTIFICATION OF ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT

The chair gave notice of the following additional papers circulated prior to the meeting:

- Addendum report relating to item 6.1 – Development management items

- Members pack.

4. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

There were none.

5. MINUTES

Members asked for amendments to be made to the minutes.

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the Planning Committee (Smaller Applications) meeting held on 22 July 2026 be amended by officers and brought back to a future meeting.

6. DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

The chair explained that the purpose of this meeting was to review the planning application and to vote on what the committee's official position would have been, had the committee decided the application. The committee's decision that evening would then determine the Council's position in relation to the appeal against non-determination.

If the committee decided that they would have refused the application, they must clearly state valid, evidence-based planning reasons for refusal.

6.1 269 - 275 RYE LANE AND 1A PHILIP WALK LONDON SE15

Planning application reference: 24/AP/3834 (Non-determination appeal)

Report: See pages 10 to 75 of the agenda pack, pages 1 to 3 of the addendum report and page 1 to 7 of the appendix to the addendum report.

PROPOSAL

Demolition of existing building and construction of mixed-use development comprising 38no. residential flats (Class C3) and commercial floorspace (Class E), together with landscaping, plant, car parking, cycle storage, waste/recycling storage and associated works.

The committee heard the officer's introduction to the report. Members of the committee asked questions of the officers.

The meeting then adjourned for a comfort break at 8.30pm, and restarted at 8.38pm.

There were no objectors present at the meeting and wishing to speak.

The applicant addressed the committee and responded to questions from members.

There were no supporters present, who lived within 100 metres of the development site and wished to speak.

There were no ward members present who wished to address the committee.

Members of the committee then asked further questions of the officers.

Motion to exclude Press and Public

At 9pm, a motion to exclude the press and public was moved, seconded, put to the vote and declared carried.

RESOLVED:

> That the press and public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of this on the grounds that exempt information would be disclosed, as defined in Article 10.4.5 of the Council's Access to Information Procedure Rules.

Once the meeting resumed in open session at 9.38pm, a motion setting out the reasons why the council would have refused planning permission was moved, seconded, put to the vote and declared carried.

RESOLVED:

> That if the council had determined the application, it would have refused it for the following reasons; and that at the forthcoming appeal the council's position is that planning permission should be refused for the following reasons:
>
> **Quality of Accommodation**
> The proposed development would fail to provide a satisfactory standard of residential accommodation for future occupiers and, when viewed as a whole, would result in poor quality living conditions across a significant proportion of the scheme, with particular and significant harm arising in relation to the proposed wheelchair accessible dwellings.
>
> The development relies on a number of single-aspect dwellings, including wheelchair accessible units (A03, A07 and A11) located on the Rye Lane frontage, which is exposed to high levels of environmental noise. These units,

together with other dwellings facing Rye Lane, would be likely to require occupants to keep windows closed for prolonged periods, resulting in an over-reliance on mechanical ventilation to maintain acceptable internal environmental conditions. A number of units are further compromised by the extensive use of obscure glazed windows, restricted outlooks towards blank brick elevations, internal winter gardens in place of external private amenity space, and limited opportunities for meaningful views out. The proposal also demonstrates shortcomings in daylight provision, with numerous habitable rooms, including living spaces and bedrooms within wheelchair accessible units, failing to meet BRE target illuminance criteria guidelines.

In addition, the proposed wheelchair accessible dwellings fail to achieve the minimum internal floorspace requirements set out by Southwark Plan Policy P8, with units A03, A05, A07, A09 and A11 falling below the prescribed minimum standards. The scheme also fails to provide a second lift serving wheelchair accessible homes located above ground floor level, contrary to Policy P8 requirements. The deficiencies in floorspace, outlook, daylight, privacy, noise exposure, amenity provision and accessibility collectively result in an unacceptable standard of accommodation, particularly for future wheelchair users, and fail to demonstrate that the proposed single-aspect dwellings would achieve adequate passive ventilation, daylight, privacy and overheating resilience. There are concerns the development has the potential to result in a significant adverse effect for those with the protected characteristic of disability.

For these reasons, the proposal is contrary to Policies P8, P15 and P56 of the Southwark Plan 2022, Policy D6 of the London Plan 2021, the Housing Design Standards Supplementary Planning Guidance 2023, the Support for Housebuilding London Plan Guidance 2026 and the Southwark Householder Development SPD 2025 (minimum room sizes only). As such, the development would provide a poor-quality residential environment and fails to secure a high standard of amenity and accessible housing for future occupiers.

Affordable Housing
The proposed development fails to deliver a 35% affordable housing contribution (social rented and intermediate homes) and would therefore not maximise the provision of affordable housing on-site, off-site or by way of payment in lieu. Whilst the applicant has submitted viability evidence which seeks to justify a reduced affordable housing contribution in accordance with Policy P1 of the Southwark Plan 2022, the proposal would nonetheless result in an unacceptable loss of opportunity to address Southwark's acute and pressing affordable housing need.

The Council's evidence demonstrates a significant and persistent demand for social rented and intermediate housing, with over 18,000 households currently on the housing waiting list and a particularly acute need for social rented homes. Although Southwark has a strong record of housing delivery

and continues to facilitate substantial housing growth, the borough is currently unable to demonstrate a full five-year housing land supply, with the Authority Monitoring Report 2025/26 identifying only 4.53 years of supply. In these circumstances, the delivery of affordable housing assumes even greater importance. Every residential development is expected to make the maximum reasonable contribution towards meeting identified affordable housing needs, and schemes which fail to do so represent a missed opportunity to address the borough's substantial housing pressures.

Given the scale of the identified need, the limited availability of development opportunities within the borough, and the Council's strategic objective of maximising affordable housing delivery, the shortfall in affordable housing within this proposal attracts substantial weight. Even acknowledging the submitted viability position, the development would fail to make an adequate contribution towards meeting Southwark's acute affordable housing needs and would therefore conflict with the National Planning Policy Framework 2024 and Policy P1 of the Southwark Plan 2022.

Substantial weight has been attributed to the value of using brownfield land for homes and other identified needs (including the opportunity to remediate any potentially contaminated land) but the weight does not outweigh the harms identified. Officers have not identified a strong reason for refusal when viewed against policies that protect areas or assets of particular importance, but officers have identified significant adverse impacts (in relation to the standard of residential accommodation for future occupiers, when viewed as a whole, and in particular the potential for significant harm arising in relation to the proposed wheelchair accessible dwellings, together with the failure to provide affordable housing) that is considered to significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits of the development, when assessed against the policies in the NPPF taken as a whole, having had particular regard to key policies for directing development to sustainable locations, making effective use of land, securing well-designed places and providing affordable homes, individually or in combination, including the specific paragraphs within in the footnote.

The meeting ended at 9.45 pm.

CHAIR:

DATED:

OPEN **MUNICIPAL YEAR 2026-27**

COMMITTEE: **PLANNING COMMITTEE (SMALLER APPLICATIONS)**

NOTE: Original held in Constitutional Team; all amendments/queries to Beverley Olamijulo, Constitutional Team, Tel: 020 7525 7234

OPEN

	COPIES		COPIES
MEMBERS		**PLANNING TEAM**	
Councillor Richard Taylor (Chair)	1	Dennis Sangweme / Stephen Platts	1
Councillor Jane Salmon (Vice-Chair)	1		
Councillor Andy Higson	1	**COMMUNICATIONS TEAM (Electronic)**	
Councillor Sean Hannigan	1		
Councillor James Moyse	1	Eddie Townsend	
Councillor Margy Newens	1		
Councillor Kath Whittam	1		
		LEGAL TEAM (Electronic)	
Electronic Copies (No paper)			
		Kamil Dolebski (Law & Governance)	
Councillor Ryan Cox (reserve)		Michael Feeney (FTB Chambers)	
Councillor Catherine Dawkins (reserve)			
Councillor Sam Dalton (reserve)		**CONSTITUTIONAL TEAM**	
Councillor Victoria Mills (reserve)			4
Councillor Pascale Mitchell (reserve)		Beverley Olamijulo	
Councillor David Noakes (reserve)			
Councillor Leigh Richman (reserve)		**TOTAL PRINT RUN**	12
MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT (Electronic)			
Helen Hayes MP			
Neil Coyle MP			
Miatta Fahnbulleh MP			
House of Commons, London, SW1A 0AA			
		List Updated: 20 August 2026	